Original Article

Samaneh Karbalaie Esmaeilzad MD) ¹ Mohammad Taghi Hedayati (MD) ^{2, 3} Naghmeh Ziaie (MD) ^{2, 3} Hoda Shirafkan PhD) ^{2, 4} Farzad Jalali (MD) ^{2, 3} Iraj Jafaripoor (MD) ^{2, 3} Kamyar Amin (MD) ^{2, 3} Rogheyeh Pourkia (MD) ^{2, 3} Zahra Jabbary (MD) ^{2, 3} Saeid Abroutan (MD) ^{2, 3*}

School of Medicine, Babol University of Medical sciences, Babol, Iran Clinical Research Development Unit of Ayatollah Rouhani Hospital, Babol University of

Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran 3. Department of Cardiology, School of Medicine, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

4. Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Health Research Institute, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

* Correspondence:

Mehrdad Saravi, Clinical Research Development Unit of Ayatollah Rouhani Hospital, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

E-mail: mehrdadsaravi@gmail.com Tel: +98 1132338301

Received: 22 Jan 2023 **Revised:** 23 Jul 2023 **Accepted:** 29 Aug 2023

Electrocardiographic parameters and mortality in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection: A single center study

Abstract

Background: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a pandemic outbreak of RNA coronaviruses (SARS-CoV-2), associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome, multiple organ failure, and death. The surface electrocardiogram is the first line assessment of cardiac electrical system. We aimed to interpret classically the electrocardiographic parameters at admission and during hospital course and association of them with prognosis in patients admitted with diagnosis of infection with SARS-CoV-2.

Methods: Surface electrocardiograms (ECG) were obtained from 180 patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection at a large tertiary referral university hospital at north of Iran in Babol. The electrocardiographic waves, intervals and segments in addition to supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmias were depicted. Our cohort included two groups: discharged alive and dead during the hospital course. We compared the ECG characteristics of patients who died vs. survived ones.

Results: Some ECG parameters of 180 hospitalized patients were significantly associated with mortality, like heart rate (p< 0.001), bundle branch block (P= 0.035), fragmented QRS (P= 0.015), ST elevation (P= 0.004), T p-e duration (P= 0.006), premature atrial and ventricular complexes (P= 0.030, P= 0.004) and atrial fibrillation (P= 0.003).

Conclusion: The SARS-CoV-2 infection had several impacts on cardiac electrical system which may monitored with a simple and easily accessible tool like ECG. This tool also helpful in the risk stratification of patients.

Keywords: Electrocardiogram, SARS-CoV-2 infection, Mortality.

Citation:

Karbalaie Esmaeilzad S, Taghi Hedayati M, Ziaie N, et al. Electrocardiographic parameters and mortality in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection: A single center study. Caspian J Intern Med 2024; 15(3): 444-450.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the pathogen responsible for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), initially identified as primarily affecting the respiratory system, has now emerged as a complex systemic illness with significant implications for cardiovascular health (1). Prompt identification of individuals predisposed to adverse outcomes is imperative for effective patient management. Electrocardiograms (ECGs) represent a readily accessible and non-invasive modality for evaluating cardiac function (2). Numerous investigations have sought to elucidate the spectrum of ECG abnormalities and their correlation with clinical outcomes, aiming to elucidate the intricate interplay between SARS-CoV-2 infection and cardiovascular sequelae. Increasing evidence suggests that SARS-CoV-2 infection can induce a range of ECG alterations, from nonspecific ST-T wave changes to potentially life-threatening arrhythmias (3). While the precise mechanisms remain under investigation, viral pathogenicity, systemic inflammation, hypoxia, and endothelial dysfunction are implicated.

Prior studies have highlighted associations between abnormal ECG findings in COVID-19 patients and heightened risks of mortality, intensive care admission, and cardiovascular complications.

However, these investigations often encompass diverse patient cohorts across multiple healthcare settings, introducing variability in demographics, treatment modalities, and ECG interpretation practices (4). Additional research is warranted to clarify the relationship between specific electrocardiographic markers and mortality risk in SARS-CoV-2 patients.

In this study, conducted at a single center, we aim to elucidate the association between distinct ECG parameters and in-hospital mortality among individuals hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 infection (5, 6). Through comprehensive analysis of a diverse patient cohort, we endeavor to delineate the prevalence of ECG abnormalities and their prognostic relevance. Our findings hold promise for enhancing comprehension of cardiac involvement in COVID-19 and exploring the utility of ECG as a prognostic tool for risk stratification in this vulnerable population. By integrating ECG data with clinical outcomes, our investigation aims to furnish clinicians with refined prognostic tools for early risk identification and personalized therapeutic interventions, thereby ameliorating patient care and outcomes amidst this global health crisis. As we navigate the complex landscape of COVID-19-related cardiovascular complications, our study endeavors to enrich the evidence base informing clinical decision-making and public health strategies.

Methods

Study design and participants: Our inpatient cohort consisted of 180 patients enrolled at this prospective observational single center (Ayatollah Rouhani Hospital, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran) study from April 2020 to 2021. The diagnosis was based on the World Health Organization's guidance (7), which was confirmed by a nasopharyngeal swab for a reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction. Patients more than 18 years old, who agreed to participate and signed informed consent, and underwent standard ECG recording at admission and in-hospital course were included. The exclusion criteria were pacemaker rhythm and ventricular pre-excitation on ECG. We systemically evaluated all clinical records and detailed medical history for any demographic characteristics, medications, laboratory data, and preexisting co-morbidities like coronary artery disease (documented obstructed coronary arteries, old myocardial infarction, or any coronary revascularization), heart failure, stroke (in recent 24 months), malignancy, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Gold stage III, IV) and renal failure (stages 4-5). The present study was approved by the

local Ethics Committee IR.MUBABOL.HRI.REC.1400.006

Electrocardiography analysis and definitions: A standard 12-lead ECG was recorded at 25 mm / s, 1 cm calibration, and a filter setting of 0.05 Hz, using a Mortara 150 device (Mortara Machine, Bologna, Italy). The analyses performed by two fellowships of cardiac electrophysiology (MN, M.M.) who were unaware of the details of the study. The discrepancy was resolved by the surveillance and consensus of the third one. All arrhythmias during hospitalization were also recorded. The following parameters were outlined: heart rate, rhythm, QRS width and bundle branch blocks, and atrioventricular blocks, ST segment elevation (J point elevation of ≥ 1 mm, ST-segment elevation > 80 ms with morphology in favor of pericardial or coronary involvement. Patients with left bundle branch block pattern and leads V1-4 in right bundle branch block pattern were excluded from ST-segment and T wave analyses. T wave inversion (≥1 mm in at least two contiguous leads except aVR and V1) and from park to end of T wave (Tp-e) were revealed.

Bazett's formula [QTc=QT (ms) / \sqrt{RR} (s)] was used for calculating corrected QT interval, but in wide QRS complexes (≥ 120 ms), we used recommendations of Bogossian H, et al. (8) Premature atrial and ventricular complexes (PAC, PVC respectively), atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter, ventricular tachycardia, ventricular fibrillation were detailed.

Statistical analysis: All data were analyzed using SPSS Version 25.0 (IBM Corporation). Normally distributed continuous variables were expressed as mean±standard deviation (S.D.), and categorical variables were expressed as counts and percentages. We checked normality of data, then t-test and Mann-Whitney U test were used for comparing the mean of variables in two groups of dead and alive patients. Chi-square and Fisher's exact test was used for assessing categorical variables. Univariate and Multivariate regression analyses were performed for association of clinical, laboratory, and ECG parameters with mortality. The findings were reported as odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI). The p-values less than 0.05 for was considered statistically significant.

Results

Population characteristics: From 10 April 2020-2021, one hundred-eighty patients were enrolled to our cohort with positive PCR for SRAS-CoV-2 admitted in Ayatollah Rouhani Hospital (Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran) who divided in two equal age and sex-matched

groups of alive and dead. Baseline patient characteristics were detailed in table 1. The mean age of the entire cohort was 64.4 ± 12.51 years (range: 34-89 years). Males were slightly more common than females (101 [56.1%] vs 79 [43.9%]. Ninety patients died in hospital course. The most common comorbidities were hypertension (32.8%) and

diabetes (20.6%). They had a history of coronary artery disease (15%), heart failure (7.8%), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (5%). The incidence of abnormal amounts of cTnI, NT-proBNP and hs-CRP (p < 0.004, p < 0.004 and p < .005 respectively) were higher in patients who died than others (table 1).

	All (n=180)	Survived (n- 90)	Died (N=90)	P value	Odds ratio	95% CI
Age (Years)	64.4 ± 12.51	63.69 ± 11.05	64.40 ± 13.87	0.704	1.005	0.981-1.028
Sex (F/M)	79 (43.9%)	39(43.3)	40 (44.4)	0.881	0.956	0.53-1.72
CAD	27 (15%)	13 (14.4%)	14 (15.6%)	0.835	1.091	0.481-2.474
Heart Failure	14 (7.8%)	8 (8.9%)	6 (6.7%)	0.002	1.091	0.481-2.474
Hypertension	59 (32.8%)	24 (26.7%)	35 (38.9)	0.082	1.750	0.931-3.288
Diabetes mellitus	37 (20.6%)	15 (16.7%)	22 (24.4%)	0.03	1.618	0.777-3.369
Smoking	45	19 (21.1%)	26 (28.9%)	0.230	1.518	0.768-3.000
COPD	9 (5%)	4 (4.4%)	5 (5.5%)	0.346	1.577	0.612-4.064
C-reactive protein, mg/L	$59.59 \pm \\ 36.37$	42.04 ± 16.09	77.14 ± 42.17	0.005	1.064	1.041-1.87
Elevated cTNI ng/L	65 (36.1%)	7 (7.8%)	58 (64.4%)	0.004	21.491	8.879-52.017
Elevated NT- proBNP	32 (17.8%)	26 (28.9%)	6 (6.7%)	0.004	5.687	2.210-14.638

Table 1. Baseline	natients' characteris	tics of natients	infected with	SARS-CoV infection
I able It Dasenne	patients characteris	nes or patients	micetea with	Sinto Cov infection

CI Confidence Interval, F female, M male, CAD coronary artery disease, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cTNI cardiac troponin I, NT-proBNP N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic factor.

The Electrocardiographic findings: main electrocardiographic findings were summarized in table 2. Most (83%) patients had sinus rhythm on ECG. Abnormal ECGs were observed in nearly all patients who died, compared with 63% of patients who survived. Baseline ECG values included mean heart rate was higher in expired group than survived one $(88.63 \pm 26.32 \text{ vs. } 77.07 \pm 11.61,$ P < 0.001, respectively), but mean PR interval was not different significantly between two groups (P=0.653). The average QRS duration was 87.39 ±32.45 msec. Patients with bundle branch blocks and fragmented QRS were seen more in patients who expired (p values 0.035 and 0.015, respectively). The mean Bazett-corrected QT interval was 389.09 ± 55.52 ms (range 370-693 ms). A corrected QT interval > 460 ms was observed in 25% of patients and with worse outcome ones (P=0.002). Local ST elevation and pathological T wave inversion were seen in 15 (8.3%) and 82 (45.6%) patients respectively, which had significant associated with poor outcome (P=0.004 and 0.03 respectively).

The interval which is an important index of depolarization was abnormal (P=0.006). Atrial fibrillation / flutter, atrioventricular block and abnormal intraventricular conduction was seen in 15%, 6.1% and 11.1% of patients respectively. Premature atrial and ventricular complexes occurred in 63.3% and 35% of participants respectively. Tachycardia was more common among those who died (88.63 \pm 26.32 vs. 77.07 \pm 11.61, p <0.001).

Table 2. Electrocardiographic characteristics of 180 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 infection						
Variable	All (n=180)	Survived (n- 90)	Died (N=90)	P- value	Odds ratio	95%CI
Heart rate	84.35 ± 21.56	77.07 ± 11.61	88.63 ± 26.32	< 0.001	1.038	1.020-1.056
PR interval ms	183.04 ± 34.12	171.67 ± 37.03	187.44 ± 47.6	0.653	0.983	1.018-1.049
QRS, ms	87.32 ± 21.12	85.56 ± 31.78	90 ± 38.06	0.601	0.993	2.143-3-187
BBB	20 (11.1)	6 (6.7%)	14 (15.6%)	0.035	2.579	0.944-7.049
Fragmented QRS	21 (11.7%)	5 (5.6%)	16 (17.8%)	0.015	3.676	1.284-10.519
QTc interval	$\begin{array}{c} 389.09 \pm \\ 55.52 \end{array}$	425.69 ± 36.28	452.68± 61.56	0.005	1.011	1.005-1.018
Abnormal QTc	135 (75%)	77 (85.6%)	58 (64.4%)	0.002	3.268	1.576-6.775
ST-segment elevation	15 (8.3%)	3 (3.3%)	12 (13.3%)	0.004	4.462	1.214-16.396
T wave inversion	82 (45.6%)	26 928.9%)	56 (62.2%)	0.03	4.54	2.172-7.567
Tp-e ms	72.98 ± 6.93	70.60 ± 5.40	75.36 ± 7.49	0.006	1.123	1.576-6.775
Atrial Fibrillation/Flutter	27 (15%)	6 (6.7%)	21 (23.3%)	0.003	4.261	1.629-11.145
AV Blocks	11 (6.1%)	3 (3.3%)	8 (8.9%)	0.134	1.829	0.726-11.032
PVCs	63 (35%)	16 (17.8%)	48 (53.3%)	0.004	5.286	2.676-10.442
PACs	114 (63.3)	35 (38.9%)	79 (87.7%)	0.030	11.286	5.278- 24 1130

SD, Standard deviation, QTC, Corrected QT interval by Bazett's formula, PAC, Premature Atrial Contractions, AVB Atrioventricular block, RBBB, Right bundle branch block, LAHB, Left anterior hemiblock, LPHB, left posterior hemiblock, LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy, RVH, right ventricular hypertrophy

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of mortality in 180 SARS-CoV-2 infection infected patients with SARS-CoV-2

Variable	P-value	Odds Ratio	95% CI
Heart rate bpm	< 0.001	1.025	1.011-1.042
ST segment elevation(Yes/No)	0.006	3.91	1.619-16.895
Fragmented QRS (Yes/No)	0.023	3.212	1.012-10.739
Abnormal QTc interval ms	< 0.001	3.353	1.674-6.692
T p-e index	< 0.001	1.258	1.085-1.228
PAC (Yes/No)	< 0.001	12.152	6.645-25.048
PVC (Yes/No)	< 0.001	5.877	3.283-10.739
Atrial fibrillation or flutter (Yes/No)	0.029	3.981	1.532-10.624

Bpm beat per minute, T p-e T peak-end interval, PAC premature atrial complex, PVC premature ventricular complex

Discussion

Our surface results demonstrated that electrocardiography, as a simple and readily available tool, is useful in predicting risk stratification and clinical outcome in patients hospitalized with infection, especially in univariate and multivariate analyses. ECG variables independently associated with mortality were heart rate, STsegment elevation, fragmented QRS, abnormal corrected QT interval, Tp-e interval, and atrial fibrillation. Clinical variables such as hypertension, diabetes, heart failure, and laboratory findings such as abnormal levels of troponin I, N-terminal proBNP, and C-reactive protein are associated with increased hospitalization complications and mortality due to CoV-19 infection. We found an association between hypertension, diabetes and heart failure as co-morbidities with mortality in infected ones with COVID-19. Zylla MM., et al. showed older age and cardiovascular risk factors predisposed for arrhythmia during hospitalization (9). Some researches (10-11). It suggests a significant association between clinical comorbidities such as diabetes, hypertension, obesity and smoking and disease severity. Sinus tachycardia is associated with increased risk, consistent with previous studies (12, 13). There was an association between QRS width prolongation and various types of bundle branch block with adverse outcomes (12-15). In univariate analysis, like Wang D et al.'s study (16, bradyarrhythmia, particularly AV conduction block, were associated with poor outcome. We showed that a fragmented QRS can predict mortality in patients like Yildirim A. et al. (17).

Our results highlight that ST elevation is associated with an almost four-fold increase in mortality. This electrocardiogram change indicates myocardial tissue damage due to coronary artery stenosis, hypoxia, hemodynamic disturbances, and infarction due to myocarditis. (18, 19, 20). According to association between coronary risk factors (10, 20) such as hypertension and diabetes and increase mortality risk, ST elevation is associated with elevated troponin I and myocardial infarction (11). Abnormal T inversion and prolonged Tp-e interval was associated with (21, 22). This repolarization changes may be due to myocarditis, sub-endocardial ischemia-infarction and drug effects and associated with increase in arrhythmia risk profile (24) and mortality. We found an association between corrected QT interval prolongation and mortality. It is a marker of susceptibility to ventricular arrhythmias and death. Changes in the QT interval result from ischemia, inflammation, hemodynamic disturbances, and drug-induced myocardial damage. (26). Banaie A, et al. found association with disease severity,

myocardial damage and one-year mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 infection (27). Atrial fibrillation (AF) was observed in about one-sixth of our patients which was associated with poor prognosis including independent mortality risk. It is a risk factor for heart failure and stroke. Bhatla A et al. (28) reported association of AF (as the most common arrhythmia) and ICU admission and mortality (not independent factor in multivariate analysis). Mountantonakis S et al. showed a 17.6 % incidence of atrial fibrillation, especially new episodes, which was an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality (29). Paris S et al. in a multi-center study showed that history of AF was associated with higher mortality and in hospital events (30). The incidence of arrhythmias in Yunidai et al.'s study was 22%. Among them, atrial fibrillation was the most common one (12). Similar to previous studies (10, 31), increasing levels of biomarkers such as N-terminal pro-BNP, troponin I, and C-reactive protein favored in-hospital mortality.

Because we studied a small group of hospitalized patients, our estimates are conservative and may not consider the general population or large numbers of infected patients. In addition, ECG has limited predictive power. Consequently, it is better to add advanced echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (imaging for myocardial tissue) to surface electrocardiography.

Our data demonstrated the important role of surface ECG in risk stratification of patients infected with COVID-19. Among the various electrical abnormalities, increased heart rate, ST-segment elevation, and atrial fibrillation are most strongly associated with outcome.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Clinical Research Development Unit of Ayatollah Rouhani Hospital for the assistance in the manuscript submission and references arrangement.

Funding: None.

Conflict of Interests: None declared.

Authors' contribution: Saravi Mehrdad and Karbalaie Samaneh contributed equally to designing the study, conducting the analysis, and drafting the manuscript. Hedayati M.T., Ziaee Naghmeh, Jalali Farzad, Jafaripoor IrajL.I. led the communication to the data provider, supported drafting, and critically revised the manuscript. Amin Kamyar, Poukia Rogheyeh, Abroutan Saied and Jabary Zahra designed the study, supervised this project, conducted the analyses, and revised the manuscript. All authors made substantial contributions to the manuscript, revised it critically for important intellectual content, approved the final version, and are accountable for all aspects of the work. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

References

- Lanza GA, De Vita A, Ravenna SE, D'Aiello A, et al. Electrocardiographic findings at presentation and clinical outcome in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Europace 2021; 23: 123-9.
- Kunal S, Gupta K, Sharma SM, et al. Cardiovascular system and COVID-19: perspectives from a developing country. Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 2020; 90.
- Dherange P, Lang J, Qian P, et al. Arrhythmias and COVID-19: a review. JACC Clin Electrophysiol 2020; 6: 1193–204.
- 4. Zheng YY, Ma YT, Zhang JY, Xie X. COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system. Nat Rev Cardiol 2020; 17: 259-60.
- Zhu W, Chen X, Wang Y, Wang L. Arrhythmia recognition and classification using ECG morphology and segment feature analysis. IEEE/ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinform 2019; 16: 131-8.
- 6. Khurshid S, Friedman S, Reeder C, et al. ECG-based deep learning and clinical risk factors to predict atrial fibrillation. Circulation 2022; 145: 122-33.
- World Health Organization. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected: interim guidance. Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/10665-332299. Accessed on March 17 2022.
- Bogossian H, Linz D, Heijman J, et al. QTc evaluation in patients with bundle branch block. Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc 2020; 30: 100636.
- Zylla MM, Merle U, Vey JA, et al. Predictors and prognostic implications of cardiac arrhythmias in patients hospitalized for COVID-19. J Clin Med 2021; 10: 133.
- Liaqat A, Ali-Khan RS, Asad M, Rafique Z. Evaluation of myocardial injury patterns and ST changes among critical and non-critical patients with coronavirus-19 disease. Sci Rep 2021; 11: 4828.
- Wang Y, Chen L, Wang J, He X, Huang F, Chen J, Yang Xet al. Electrocardiogram analysis of patients with different types of COVID-19. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2020; 25: e12806.

- Yuniadi Y, Yugo D, Fajri M,et al. ECG characteristics of COVID-19 patient with arrhythmias: Referral hospitals data from Indonesia. J Arrhythm 2022; 38: 432-8.
- Wawrzeńczyk M, Grabowski MD. Evaluation of the prognostic value of the admission ECG in COVID-19 patients: a meta-analysis. Br J Cardiol 2022; 29: 18.
- Zuin M, Rigatelli G, Roncon L, Zuliani G. Left Bundle Branch Block and Mortality in COVID-19 Patients. Am J Cardiol 2021; 153: 149-50.
- Zuin M, Rigatelli G, Roncon L, Zuliani G. Mortality risk in COVID-19 patients with right bundle branch block. Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed) 2021; 74: 1122-4.
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirusinfected pneumonia in Wuhan China. JAMA 2020; 323: 1061-9.
- Yildirim A, Karaca IO, Yilmaz FK, Gunes HM, Cakal B. Fragmented QRS on surface electrocardiography as a predictor of cardiac mortality in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. J Electrocardiol 2021; 66: 108-12.
- He J, Wu B, Chen Y, et al. Characteristic electrocardiographic manifestations in patients with COVID-19. Can J Cardiol 2020; 36: 966.e1-4.
- Chen C, Chen C, Yan JT, et al. Analysis of myocardial injury in patients with COVID-19 and association between concomitant cardiovascular diseases and severity of COVID-19. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi 2020; 48: 567-71. [in Chinese].
- Yang C, Jin Z. An Acute respiratory infection runs into the most common noncommunicable epidemic-COVID-19 and cardiovascular diseases. JAMA Cardiol 2020; 5: 743-4
- Nemati R, Ganjoo M, Jadidi F, Tanha A, Baghbani R. Electrocardiography in early diagnosis of cardiovascular complications of COVID-19; A systematic literature review. Arch Acad Emerg Med 2020; 9: e10.
- McCullough SA, Goyal P, Krishnan U, et al. Electrocardiographic findings in coronavirus disease-19: Insights on mortality and underlying myocardial processes. J Card Fail 2020; 26: 626-32.
- Tondas AE, Mulawarman R, Trifitriana M, Nurmaini S, Irfannuddin I. Arrhythmia risk profile and ventricular repolarization indices in COVID-19 patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Infect Dev Ctries 2021; 15: 224-9.

- Gulletta S, Della Bella P, Pannone L, et al. QTc interval prolongation, inflammation, and mortality in patients with COVID-19. J Interv Card Electrophysiol 2022; 63: 441-8.
- 25. van de Leur RR, Bleijendaal H, Taha K, et al. Electrocardiogram-based mortality prediction in patients with COVID-19 using machine learning. Neth Heart J 2022; 30: 312-8.
- 26. Bhatla A, Mayer MM, Adusumalli S, et al. COVID-19 and cardiac arrhythmias. Heart Rhythm 2020; 17: 1439-44.
- 27. Mountantonakis SE, Saleh M, Fishbein J, et al. Atrial fibrillation is an independent predictor for in-hospital

mortality in patients admitted with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Heart Rhythm 2021; 18: 501-7.

- Paris S, Inciardi RM, Lombardi CM, et al. Implications of atrial fibrillation on the clinical course and outcomes of hospitalized COVID-19 patients: results of the Cardio-COVID-Italy multicentre study. Europace 2021; 23: 1603-11.
- 29. Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M, et al. Cardiovascular implications of fatal outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Cardiol 2020; 5: 811-8.