Review Article

Karimollah Hajian-Tilaki (PhD)

Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran.

* Correspondence:

Karimollah Hajian-Tilaki, Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran.

E-mail: drhajian@Yahoo.com Tel: 0098 11 32199591-5 Fax: 0098 11 32199936

Received: 3 Feb 2015 **Revised:** 14 Feb 2015 **Accepted:** 28 Feb 2015

Metabolic syndrome and its associated risk factors in Iranian adults: A systematic review

Abstract

Background: Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a complex clustering cardiovascular risk factors such as abdominal obesity, hypertension, diabetes and dylipedemia. It has been a growing health problem in Iranian adults in recent decade. The objective of this article was to review the prevalence of MetS and the corresponding risk factors among Iranian adults.

Methods: We conducted a systematic review to extract the published articles regarding metabolic syndrome and its risk factors among Iranian adults aged >19 years by searching in PubMed, Google Scholar, SID, Magiran and Iranmedex databases. The forty-three published articles were selected regarding MetS among Iranian adults in this review during 2005-2014.

Results: From the 43 studies, the rate of MetS varied from 10% to 60% depending on sex, age and region. The highest rate reported among postmenopausal women in Shiraz was over 60%. There was almost a consistent finding that the rate of MetS was higher among women compared with men across national level except in one study. A very sharp difference (43.3% vs. 17.1%) was observed in western Iran (Kordestan province) between sexes. MetS was significantly more prevalent among older adults, postmenopausal women, less-educated people, those living in urban areas and those with low physical activity and unhealthy eating habits across national level consistently.

Conclusion: An emerging high rate of MetS across national level highlights the lifestyle modification as preventive measures in Iranian population by focusing primarily on high risk profiles such as low socioeconomic background, low level of education, older age and postmenopausal women.

Keywords: Metabolic syndrome, cardio metabolic risk factors, adults, Iran

Citation:

Hajian-Tilaki K. Metabolic syndrome and its associated risk factors in Iranian adults: A systematic review. Caspian J Intern Med 2015; 6(2):51-61

Caspian J Intern Med 2015; 6(2):51-61

Metabolic syndrome (MetS), a cluster of cardiovascular risk factors is a matter of concern in both the developed and the developing countries (1, 2). It is a worldwide growing health problem. It is well established that MetS is linked with several cardiovascular events (3-6). In the United States, about aquarter of adult population suffers from MetS (7). However, this is more growing in the stage of epidemiologic transition of diseases in the developing countries such as the Middle East countries particularly, Iran (8-13). MetS was first described as x syndrome by Reaven in 1988 (14). It has four essential components including obesity/abdominal obesity, hyperlipedemia, hypertension and diabetes (2). However, so far several definitions have been proposed (1).

The first was the World Health Organization (WHO), second the International Disease Federation (IDF), third the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP), and the fourth was the modified definition of the NCEP/ATP III (ATP III/ American Heart Association (AHA)/ National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI)) (1, 2, 15). From these, the report of the third panel of NCEP called Adult Treatment Panel (ATP III) was used widely as a common definition in the literature (1).

ATP III provided a practical simple screening tool of diagnosis of MetS as the presence of three or more of the five criteria-emphasis on high waist circumference (WC>102 in men and WC>88 in women), high blood pressure (BP>130/80), high triglyceride (TG>150), high glucose (FBG>110, and low HDL (HDL<40 in men and HDL<50 in women) (2). On the other hand, abdominal obesity as defined by high WC is as a compulsory criterion plus the two or more of the other four criteria in IDF definition.

However, the waist circumference (WC) was recommended as a simple screening tool for measuring abdominal obesity in contrast to body mass index or other anthropometric measures. The cutoff value proposed by ATP III for WC was debate topic. This mainly may depend on ethnicity and gender (1, 2). Several studies in Asian population particularly in China, Turkey and Iran, the regional cutoff value for WC proposed might have been more appropriate (16-18). The Iranian National Committee of Obesity (INCO) also proposed a revised ATP III criteria with regional cutoff value of WC>95 cm for men and women (18). Iranian population has had an experience of demographic and epidemiologic transition stage in the recent decade. Thus, the rate of cardiovascular diseases and its mortality has increased dramatically (19-23). Changing lifestyles toward modernization and urbanization corresponds with the increasing rate of obesity and abdominal obesity as major contributors to MetS in adults and adolescents as well (21, 24, 25, 26). The relevant issues and the corresponding consequences were raised by several studies among Iranian populations (11, 12, 20, 24). Thus, the objective of this article was to review the prevalence of MetS and the associated risk factors in Iranian published studies among the adult population.

Methods

We have reviewed the status of MetS, the prevalence and

the risk factors among Iranian adults in published papers from 2005 to 2014 that mainly influenced the increasing rate of cardiovascular events in Iranian population. PubMed, SID, Google scholar, Iranmedex and Magiran data bases were used to search articles published concerning MetS in Iranian adults. We searched all these data bases for related to Iranian studies using keywords: metabolic syndrome, prevalence, adults, Iran and risk factors such demographic characteristics, lifestyle related factors including physical activity and habitual foods. Overall, 43 related articles were reviewed.

The results were summarized with respect to sample size, type of study, region (province), the definition used for MetS, and the prevalence and the risk factors were explored. From these studies, 34 articles primarily focused on the prevalence and their sociodemographic determinants and 9 studies had a prior hypothesis and used a particular analytic design as comparative study to examine the hypothesis. Hopefully, the published studies of MetS among adults spread across the other regions (from north to south and west to east).

Results

Prevalence of Mets in adults: Table 1 shows the characteristics of published studies and the corresponding prevalence of MetS in Iranian adults. From the 43 studies that we reviewed, the rate of MetS varied from 10% to 60% depending on sex, age and region. The highest rate (60.2%) was reported among the postmenopausal women in Shiraz (27). The lowest rate (22.5%) was by Sarafzadegan in Esfahan heart health study, the central part of Iran (28), Sharifi et al. in the province of Zanjan (29), the west of Iran (23.7%), in Ahvaz (22.8%) (30) and Kaykhaei in Zahedan (31), and the south of Iran, 21.0% (24.9% women vs. 15.4% men). In a health center-based study by Mahjob et al. in Babol, surprisingly, a very low rate of Mets was reported as 9.4% in males but 28.4% in females (32). However, it is not in accordance with the findings of Hajian-Tilaki et al in a population-based study in Babol. A recent study has reported by Hajian-Tilaki et al. in Babol, that the rate of MetS was 42.3% (36.5% in men and 47.3% in women) based on ATP III definition (12). The rate in Tehran adult population was 33.2% by ATP III definition and 33.2% according to IDF criteria but in another report in Tehran population among the aged >65 years, the rate was 50.8% by ATP III definition

(33). Also, another report from northwest Iran (Khorasan province) the rate was 39.9% (29.1% males, 50.4% females) (34). The other report from the west of Iran, in the province of Kordestan, the rate of Mets was 29.1% (ATP III) (41.3% women vs. 17.1% men) (35). A national study of 30 provinces of Iran reported by Delavar et al. the rate of MetS was 34.7% by ATP III definition and 37.4% by IDF criteria (22). There is almost a consistent finding that the rate of MetS was higher among women compared with men across the national level. A very sharp difference (43.3% women vs. 17.1% men) was observed in western Iran (Kordestan province) between sexes (35). Only one study reported by Jamshidi in Hamedan in which a higher rate of MetS was observed in men compared with women (25.6% vs. 19.2%) (36). A very low rate of MetS among women aged 15-49 years was reported by Ebrahimi et al. in Shareza, province of Esfahan, as 9.7% and 17.3% by ATP III and IDF definitions, respectively (37). In contrast, the rate was very high in a study by Ebrahimi-Mamghani et al. among the male firefighters and male clerks at fire station of Tabriz as 56.6% and 60.3%, respectively (38). Moreover, a large difference in the prevalence of Mets was observed between the north and the south of Iran (12, 30, 31).

Risk Factors of MetS

Demographic Factors: Gender: As we already noted, a clear pattern of gender relationship with Mets was present in Iranian studies (39-55). The rate is almost higher in women compared with men; singularly a much higher rate was revealed among postmenopausal women. In some studies, the rate among women had almost doubled compared with men (27, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 39, 43, 46).

Aging: The prevalence of Mets and its major component that increased with aging in all studies were reviewed. Specifically, the rate was elevated substantially in aged >50 years. In a study of Isfahan Health Heart Program, the rate of Mets among elderly aged 60 or older was 49.7% versus 17.5% aged <60 years (46). Also, an emerging high rate of Mets and its major component was reported at age 50 or older by Hajian-Tilaki et al. in a study of adult population living in urban areas in northern Iran and all major components of MetS had increased with aging (12).

Socioeconomic status: There is an unequal variation in MetS according to socioeconomic status and in Iranian adults. It was shown that Mets is more prevalent in low socioeconomic status particularly the low-educated adults compared with the highly-educated ones (12, 24, 56).

Several studies have shown that the components of MetS such as obesity/central obesity and diabetes are more common in the illiterate and low educated subjects (12, 24 56).

Lifestyles Risk Factors

Obesity/Abdominal Obesity: Several lifestyle-related risk factors for MetS were documented in different studies (57-71). There is a strong interrelation between obesity, central obesity with hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipedemia and MetS. It has been clearly established the obesity/central obesity is the central component of Mets (1, 2, 14). Obesity as measured by body mass index(BMI) and abdominal obesity by waist circumference (WC) or waist to hip ratio(WHR) or waist to height ratio (WHtR) are the prognostic predictors of diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipedimia and thus the occurrence of Mets (16-23). Notably both obesity and abdominal obesity have a discriminatory ability to predict non-obese components of MetS in Iranian adults (23).

A high rate of obesity and central obesity has been reported in two recent decades in Iranian adults (24, 43, 45, 49). The rate was almost higher in women compared with men (22). Principally, a higher rate of abdominal obesity was reported in Iranian women that lived in the urban areas in the north of Iran (24). A study compared Mets in a sample of 1194 Iranian adults versus 1386 French adults. The rate of Mets in Iranian women was 55% versus 13.7% in French women and 30% Iranian men versus 13.7% French men (43). High rates of HP (48%), TG (42.8%) and low HD (81.8%) were observed in Iranian men while the high rate of central obesity (65%), HP (52.1%), high TG (43.15%) and low HDL (92.7%) had been revealed in Iranian women (43).

In another study, in south of Iran (31), although a relative lower rate (22.8%) of Mets was reported in adults but the rate of major components of Mets alone was relatively high (abdominal obesity 29.4%, high TG 40.2%, low HDL 40.2%, HP 15.4% and high FBS 37.8%). A sharp difference with higher rate of MetS was revealed in the north of Iran compared to south (22, 31). A study among Iranian professional drivers, 41.4% were overweight and 21.3% were obese (44). A population-based study of adults in the north of Iran 10% and 30% of adults were obese in men and women, respectively while the rate of abdominal obesity was much higher mainly among women compared with men (46% versus 18%) (24). A report from south of Iran, although showed a relative lower rate of MetS compared to

the north, but the low HDL (60.6%), high WC (43.3%) were the most common components of Mets followed by high TG (32.0%, high FBS (17.1%) and high BP (13.0%) (31). In a study in Turkaman ethnic women, a much higher rate of different components of Mets was observed. The high WC (75%) and low HDL (70.6%) were the most common components followed by high TG (35.5%), high FBS (29.4%) and high BP (26.2%) (49).

Physical activity and Mets: Among all possible lifestylerelated risk factors, a clear link has been revealed between physical activity and Mets, and obesity and central obesity (24). Primarily, the physical activity at vigorous level decreased the risk of Mets substantially compared with low active but not the moderate level (12). Also, a dose response has revealed the relationship of physical activity and Mets, obesity and abdominal obesity both among children and adolescents as well (25, 26). In Tehran Lipid and Glucose study, the prevalence of Mets was higher among the obese group (58.2%) compared with overweight (36.6%) and normal weight (18.1%). The normal weight subjects were more physically active than other groups (58). The increased level of leisure-time physical activity was associated with decreasing in the likelihood of abnormality in components of MetS and thus, the occurrence of Mets (58).

Habitual foods and MetS: Besides physical activity, the habitual Iranian foods including high consumption of rice and bread may have an important role in apparent high rate of Mets and its major components. Tehran Lipid Glucose study reported the higher BMI and higher TG with high consumption of sugar drinks (3 times per week) compared with low consumption (less than one time per week) but after

adjustment the difference disappeared (59). In a case control study, the prevalence rate of MetS was lower as compared with the lowest quartile of legume intake (167% versus 46.7%) (60). After adjusting the possible confounding factors, a decrease in triglyceride concentration, fasting blood sugar, systolic blood pressure and increase in HDL-C concentration were observed by quartile categories of legume intakes (60). Thus, dietary legume intake is inversely associated with MetS. In addition, in another study of Tehranian population, the prevalence of MetS was higher among subjects in lower quartile of fruits (17.2% in the first quartile versus 15.4% in the 4th quartile) (61). Those with higher quartile of vegetable intake had lower risk of MetS. A significant difference of the mean of vegetable and fruit intake was observed between subjects with and without MetS (61). A similar finding also was reported by another cross-sectional study among Iranian teachers aged 40-60 years (62).

Thus, fruit and vegetable intakes were inversely associated with the risk of MetS. In addition, in a population-based cross-sectional study of Tehranian adults, dietary calcium levels and dietary vitamin D level were inversely associated with MetS after adjusting several potential confounding factors (63).

A significant difference in the prevalence of MetS was found between the first and fourth quartiles of dietary calcium intake and vitamin D levels (63). However, for a net clarification of these associations, the prospective studies are needed to investigate the influence of habitual food patterns on the risk of MetS among Iranian adults.

Authors	Sample Size	Type of Stu	ıdy	Province	Criteria	Prevalence of Mets
Zabetian et al. (33)	10368 men & women	Population	based	Tehran	IDF	32.1% (95%CI: 31.2-3.0%)
2007	aged≥20yr	Cross- sectional	1	(Lipid Glucose Study)	ATPIII	33.2% (95%CI: 32.3-9.1%)
					WHO	18.4%(95%CI:17.6-19.2)
Sadbafoghi et al. ⁽⁵⁵⁾	1110 men & women	Population	based	Yazd	ATP III	32.1%
2007	aged 20-74 yr	Cross- sectional	1	(urban)		
Azimi Nezhad etal.	2353 men & women	Population	based	Khorasan province	IDF	40.5% (26.0% men vs. 54.5% women)
(34) 2008	aged 15-64 yr	Cross- sectional	1	(urban & rural area)	ATP III	39.9% (29.1% men vs. 50.4% women)
					AHA	40.5% (30% men vs. 50.15 women)
Fakhrzadeh et al.	1573 men & women	Clinic	based	Tehran	ATP III	27.5% age adjusted
(39)	aged 20-64 yr	(Laboratories	of			(20.3% men vs. 35.9% women)
2006		Tehran Uni) (Cross-			

Table 1. Characteristics of published studies and the prevalence of MetS among Iranian adults

Caspian J Intern Med 2015; 6(2):51-61 Metabolic syndrome and the associated risk factors

<form>Hadrade and the set of the</form>						
Appendix galaview Ray evention Appendix			sectional			
ArrWith BarHereWith BarHere	Hadegh et al. (40)	720 men & women	Population based	Tehran	IDF	41.9%
SignameSignamePoulsion bosRepresentative sameDirSigna Signa Signa SignaConservenceFrameFrameFrameSignaSignaSignaalga SignaConservenceFrameFrameSignaSignaSignaSignaConservenceFrameFrameSignaSignaSignaSignaSignaConservenceFrameSigna	2009	aged >65 yr	Cross- sectional	(Lipid Glucose Study)	ATP III	50.8%
""2009Coss sectional logalization of main adminFaile and solutionPower of any constrained (main args)AP PUI solution and constrained (main args)AP PUI solution and solution and constrained (main args)AP PUI solution and solution and constrained (main args)AP PUI solution and solution and s					WHO	41.8%
<table-container>Alight and the strain of th</table-container>	Esteghamati et al.	3296 men & women	Population based	Representative samples	IDF	24% to 30% depending on sex
<form>9000000000000000000000000000000000000</form>	⁽⁴¹⁾ 2009		Cross- sectional	of Iranian adults		
Number of the second	Jalali et al. ⁽⁵¹⁾	1402 men & women	Population based		ATP III	29.0%
<form>Shafind and any and any any any any any any any any any any</form>	2009	aged 19-90 yr	Cross- sectional	Fars	IDF	30.5%
2099assistent of the second secon				(rural area)		Female to male ratio=1.97
Saheri et al. 102429 bs and trueCross-sectional verse (nem)KahnATP III35%35%2011drivers (nem)Cross-sectional aged-197Kahn Healthy Heat Population basedKahn Healthy Heat Population <b< td=""><td>Shanfi et al.⁽²⁹⁾</td><td>2941 men & women</td><td>Cross-sectional</td><td>Province of Zanjan</td><td>ATP III</td><td>23.7% (95%CI: 22.0-25.0%)</td></b<>	Shanfi et al. ⁽²⁹⁾	2941 men & women	Cross-sectional	Province of Zanjan	ATP III	23.7% (95%CI: 22.0-25.0%)
<table-container>211Sint set with the set of t</table-container>	2009	aged≥20 yr		(urban)		23.1% men vs. 24.4% women
<table-container>ShafaradanaSin me k weePopulation weeBahan Haan Haan Haan Haan Haan Haan Haan</table-container>	Saberi et al. (42)	429 bus and truck	Cross-sectional	Kashan	ATP III	35.9%
<form>nd®2010ndsPireNon-section</form>	2011	drivers (men)				
Armi-Nice Armi-Nice Maine addit and Maine addit Maine	Sarafrazadeghan et	9570 men & women	Population based	Isfahan Healthy Heart	ATP III	22.5%
i*2020188 FrendultCoss exciondadustSelentionalSelentionalica koncordica koncordica koncordica koncordica koncordica koncordica koncordica koncordica koncordica koncordif and ica koncordica koncordica koncordi	al ⁽²⁸⁾ 2011	aged≥19yr	Cross- sectional	Program		
<form>NumberNume</form>	Azimi-Nikzad et al.	1194 Iranian adult and	Population based	Iranian adults vs. French	ATP III	55% Iranian women
Share Action on a strain of the strain	⁽⁴³⁾ 2012	1386 French adults	Cross- sectional	adults		30% Iranian men
Sharafizadegan et 972 Population based Isfahan Healthy Heat ATP III 9578 etcloged provide systements, sy		(men & women)				vs.
Sharafzadegan et al.957Population basedIshan Healthy HealATP III95% aged≥0 yrs. 17.5% <000r. aged≥1 yrKaykhaet at.1802 (735 men & 100Population basedZahedanATP III210% (14.4% men x, 24.4% women). 24.8% Q00% men x, 28.1% women20121802 (735 men & 200Population basedZahedanATP III210% (14.4% men x, 24.4% women). 24.8% Q00% men x, 28.1% women20141802 (735 men & 200Population basedZahedanATP III21.6% (14.4% men x, 24.4% women). 24.8% Q00% men x, 28.1% women2012men & womenPopulation basedKardistanATP III21.6% (17.1% men x, 41.3% women). 24.9% Q00% men x, 28.1% women2012men & womenCross-sectional(Weixt of Iran)DIF25.5%2012men & womenPopulation basedSemanATP III25.5%302 OF 0cross-sectional(mark avban)DF25.8%303 OP men & Boya NormPopulation baseSemanATP III26.5%304 OF 0cross-sectional(mark avban)DF25.8%304 OF 0cross-sectional(mark avban)DF26.4%304 OF 0cross-sectional(mark avban)DF26.4%304 OF 0sectional(Mark avban, Babel)ATP III26.5%304 OF 0sectionalMarzadara, BabelATP III26.4%304 OF 0sectionalCross-sectionalATP III36.4%304 OF 0sectionalCross-sectionalATP III36.4%304 Oran						13.7% French men
al.(mex. women) acid>Coss-sectional (Souther Sectional)Programand 2 for yr. 59.2% women vs. 59.8% momen acid>Kaykhaei al.1802 (735 mer. & 100 vomen aged 219 yrPolution based (Soushe Gram)AleanATP III21.0% (1.4.% men vs. 2.4.% women) (2.8.% (2.0.% men vs. 2.8.% women)2012women aged 219 yrPolution based (Southor Gram)KurlistanATP III21.3% (1.9.% women vs. 2.8.% women)2013mer. & women mer. & coss-sectional (Sous-sectional)KurlistanATP III2.3% (1.9.% women vs. 2.8.% women)2014mer. & women mer. & coss-sectional (Sous-sectional)KurlistanATP III2.3% (1.9.% women vs. 2.8.% women)2014mer. & coss-sectional (Sous-sectional)Kurlistan (Kurlistan)ATP III2.5%2014aged 3-0 yrPolation based (Sous-sectional)Sous-sectional (Kurlistan)DF3.5%2014aged 3-0 yrPolation based (Sous-sectional)Sous-sectional (Kurlistan)DF3.5%2014aged 3-0 yrPolation based (Sous-sectional)Mexa ArabajianDF3.5%2014aged 3-0 yrcoss-sectionalMiza ArabajianDF3.5%2015aged 3-0 yrCoss-sectionalMiza ArabajianDF3.5%2016aged 3-0 yrCoss-sectionalMiza ArabajianATP III3.5%2016aged 3-0 yrCoss-sectionalAtranta ArabajianATP III3.5%2017aged 3-0 yrCoss-sectionalAtranta ArabajianATP III <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6.6% French women</td>						6.6% French women
arget ≥ 19 yr For arget ≥ 10 yr Kaykhaei et al. ⁵⁰ 1802 (735 men & 1067 Population based Zahedan ATP III 21.0% (14.4% men vs. 24.9% women) 2012 women) aged ≥ 19 yr Cross-sectional (South of Iran) IDF 24.8% (20.0% men vs. 28.1% women) Esmailnasab et al. ⁵⁵ 1194 Population based Kurdistan ATP III 21.0% (17.1% men vs. 41.3% women) 2012 men & women Cross-sectional (West of Iran) 201 21.0% (17.1% men vs. 41.3% women) 2012 men & women Cross-sectional (West of Iran) 201 25.5% 8abed Gorbani et al. 3799 men & women Population based Semann ATP III 28.5% 69 2012 aged 20-70 yr Cross-sectional (rural & urban) IDF Age aljusted 32.4% 69 2012 aged 20-70 yr Cross-sectional (rural & urban) IDF Age aljusted 32.4% 2012 men cross-sectional frure Age aljusted 32.4% 213 2013 aged 2-18 yr Cross-sectional Mazandaran, Babol A	Sharrafzadegan et	9572	Population based	Isfahan Healthy Heart	ATP III	49.5% aged ≥60 yr vs. 17.5% <60 yr.
Kaykané and ManNove Markané ManNove ManNameN	al. (46) 2012	(men& women)	Cross-sectional	Program		aged ≥ 60 yr: 59.2% women vs. 39.8% men
202wmm and act and any and act and any and act and ac		aged ≥19 yr				
AHA 2.3% 01/0.5% mem.sc. 2.0% 01/0.5% mem.sc. Esmainasab et al. 194 Populaion based Kuristan ATP III 2.0% 01/0.5% mem.sc. 2.0% 01/0.5	Kaykhaei et al.(31)	1802 (735 men & 1067	Population based	Zahedan	ATP III	21.0% (14.4% men vs. 24.9% women)
Esnailanasade al. ⁴⁰³ 1194 Population based Kurdistan ATP III 21.9.(17.1% men vs. 41.3% women) 2012 me & women Cross-sectional (West of Tar) Vest of Tar) ade 25-54 yr yr Gross-sectional Seman ATP III 28.5% Babe Gorbani etal. 39 men & women Population based Seman ATP III 28.5% Mohobi etal. 1213 Cross-sectional (ural & urban) DF 36.8% 2012 mer cross-sectional Vest Azebaijan DF Age aljusted 32.4% 2012 mer cross-sectional Vest Azebaijan DF Age aljusted 32.4% 2012 mer cross-sectional Vest Azebaijan DF Age aljusted 32.4% 2012 mer cross-sectional Katzebaijan DF Age aljusted 32.4% 2013 age 2-8 rg Cross-sectional Mazadrane Asea ATP III 21.5% men vs.2.5% women 2014 Jare Menore Sectional Cross-sectional Atran ATP III 30.4% 2013 Mara Marel Sectional Atage A	2012	women) aged ≥19 yr	Cross-sectional	(South of Iran)	IDF	24.8% (20.0% men vs. 28.1% women)
2012 men & women or conserved on a construction of the cons					AHA	23.3% (19.7% men vs. 25.8% women)
hadeb Gorbanic all 379 men & women Population base Seman ATP III 28.5% 630 2012 agd 30-70 yr Cross-sectional (ural & uran) DF 35.8% Mohebbi et al. 440 1213 % Professional drivers West Azerbaijan DF Age adjusted 32.4% 2012 men coss-sectional Cross-sectional Uran & women DF Age adjusted 32.4% 2013 men coss-sectional Kazandaran, Babol ATP III 27.6% (Oracle Action) 2014 93 men & women Halth center base Mazandaran, Babol ATP III 27.6% (Oracle Action) 2013 03 men & women Halth center base Mazandaran, Babol ATP III 27.6% (Oracle Action) 2014 12 mer Cross-sectional Frame ATP III 27.6% (Oracle Action) 2013 03 men engenation Clinic based cross Tehrain ATP III 20.6% (Oracle Action) 2014 18 port menoreal Groin based Ahaza (Sotth Wens de Action) ATP III 20.8% (Oracle Action) 2013 18 port menoreal Cross-sectional Haruer Arter Mathema 20.	Esmailnasab et al. ⁽³⁵⁾	1194	Population based	Kurdistan	ATP III	29.1% (17.1% men vs. 41.3% women)
Raheb Gorbani et al379 men & womenPopulation baseSemanATP III2.8.5%63 020 00Ged 30-70 yrCross-sectional(ural & urban)DF3.5.8%Mohebbi et al. ⁽⁴⁴⁾ 1213 %Professional drivesWest AzerbaijanDFAge aljusted 32.4%2012macross-sectional	2012	men & women	Cross-sectional	(West of Iran)		
6 ³³ 2012 leqd 30-70 yr Cross-sectional (ural & urban) IDF 35.8% Mohebbi et al. ⁽⁴⁴⁾ 1213 v Professional drivers West Azerbaijan IDF Age aljusted 32.4% 2012 men cross-sectional Tors-sectional Jone Jone aged 20-77 yr aged 20-77 yr Softword Menton Menton ATP III 9.4% (95% Cl: 21.0-26.4%) 2013 aged 21 8 yr Cross-sectional Yer New Softword Menton ATP III 9.4% (95% Cl: 21.0-26.4%) 2014 aged 21 8 yr Cross-sectional Yer New Softword Menton ATP III 9.4% (96% Cl: 21.0-26.4%) 2013 aged 21 8 yr Cross-sectional Mazandan, Babol ATP III 9.4% men ys.28.4% women) 2014 women cross-sectional Tehran ATP III 9.1% (95% Cl: 21.0-26.4%) 2013 women sctional Tehran ATP III 9.1% (95.0% Cl: 21.0-26.4%) 2014 women sctional Athary Menton ATP III 9.1% (95.0% Cl: 21.0-26.4%) 2013 Momender 21.5% sctional Ataa (Softword Menton) ATP III 9.0% postmenopausal		aged 25-54 yr				
Mohebbi et al. (44) 12138 Professional drivers West Azerbaijan IDF Age adjusted 32.4% 2012 men cross-sectional cross-sectional cross-sectional cross-sectional aged 20-67 yr rest v ATP III 23.7% (95%CI: 21.0-26.4%) 2013 aged 21 & yr Cross- sectional rest-sectional g.4.4% 2014 aged 21 & yr Cross- sectional S.7% (95%CI: 21.0-26.4%) 2015 aged 21 & yr Cross- sectional ATP III 23.7% (95%CI: 21.0-26.4%) 2016 aged 21 & yr Cross- sectional Mazandaran, Babol ATP III 23.7% (95%CI: 21.0-26.4%) 2017 aged 21 & yr Cross- sectional Mazandaran, Babol ATP III 23.7% (95%CI: 21.0-26.4%) 2018 aged 21 & yr Cross- sectional Mazandaran, Babol ATP III 30.1% 2014 vomen sectional Clinic based cross Tehran ATP III 28.8% (15.9% men vs. 29.1% women) 2013 women sectional Ahaza (South west of ATP III 28.8% (15.9% men vs. 29.1% women) 2013 women cross-sectional Biniz	Raheb Gorbani et al.	3799 men & women	Population based	Semnan	ATP III	28.5%
2012 men cross-sectional aged 20-67 yr Mahjoub et al. ⁽³⁸⁾ 93 men & wome Health center based Mazandaran, Babol ATP III 2.7% (95% CI: 2.10-2.6.4%) 2013 aged ≥1 yr Cross-sectional (9.4% men vs. 28.4% women) 2014 aged ≥1 yr Cross-sectional INCO 15.7% men vs. 22.5% women 2015 usen Clinic based cross Tehran ATP III 30.1% 2013 vomen sectional Menopause Clinic Usen Sectional Item vs. 25.9% women 2014 Marander al. ⁽³⁰⁾ 912 me & women Population based Ahvaz (South west of Hanopause Clinic ATP III 2.8% (15.9% men vs. 29.1% women) 2013 Maa aged 42.3±14 yr cross-sectional Itan Usen Jtan vs. 29.1% women) 2013 Maa aged 42.3±14 yr cross-sectional Itan Sectional Jtan vs. 29.1% women) 2013 Mana aged 42.3±14 yr cross-sectional ShiraZ ATP III 60% post menopausal 2013 Jtan maged 42.3±14 yr Cross-sectional ShiraZ ATP III 60% post menopausal 2013<	(53) 2012	aged 30-70 yr	Cross- sectional	(rural & urban)	IDF	35.8%
initial	Mohebbi et al. (44)	12138	Professional drivers	West Azerbaijan	IDF	Age adjusted 32.4%
Mahjoub et al. ⁽³⁸⁾ 93 men & wome Health center base Mazandaran, Babol ATP III 9.3.% (9.5% CI: 21.0-26.4%) 2013 age ≥ 18 yr Cross-sectional v (9.4% men vs. 28.4% women) 7 Urun vs. 21.5% women Norman Norman Norman Norman 7 Jouvandeh et al. ⁽⁴⁾ 18 post men opaus Clinic based cross Tehran ATP III 30.1% 2013 vomen sectional Mazandaro Men opaus ATP III 2.8% (15.9% men vs. 29.1% women) 2013 91 men women Population based Ahvaz (South west opaus) ATP III 2.8% (15.9% men vs. 29.1% women) 2013 91 men women cross-sectional Finar ATP III 60% postmenopaus) 2013 90 men women Cross-sectional Sitiza ATP III 60% postmenopaus) 2013 women wom	2012	men	cross-sectional			
2013 age之18 yr Cross-sectional 9.4% men vs. 28.4% women 1NCO 15.7% men vs. 22.5% women 1Nu vandeh et al. 45 118 post menopausa Clinic based cross- Tehran ATP III 30.1% 2013 women sectional MenopauseClinic		aged 20-67 yr				
International State Internation State Internation State	Mahjoub et al. (38)	933 men & women	Health center based	Mazandaran, Babol	ATP III	23.7% (95%CI: 21.0-26.4%)
Jouvandeh et al. (45)118 post menopausaClinic based crossTehranATP III30.1%2013womensectionalMenopause Clinic-Shabazian et al. (30)912 men & Population basedAhvaz (South west of ranyATP III22.8% (15.9% men vs. 29.1% women)2013Mean aged 42.3 ± 14 yrross-sectionalIranyMaharouei et al. (27)490Pre-menopausaCross-sectionalAlfragATP III60% post menopausal2013women434IDF59.4% postmenopausalbragement529 menopausalPopulation basedGazinWHO28.0%	2013	aged≥18 yr	Cross- sectional			(9.4% men vs. 28.4% women)
2013 women sectional Menopause Clinic Shabazian et al. ⁽³⁰⁾ 912 men & women Population based Ahvaz (South west of ATP III 22.8% (15.9% men vs. 29.1% women) 2013 Mean aged 42.3±14 yr cross-sectional Iran Iran Maharouei et al. ⁽²⁷⁾ 490 Pre-menopausal Cross-sectional Shiraz ATP III 60% post menopausal 2013 women & 434 Letter IDF 59.4% postmenopausal postmeruter postmeruter Ferreruter WHO 28.0%					INCO	15.7% men vs. 22.5% women
Shabazian et al. (30)912 men & womenPopulation basedAhvaz (South west of Iran)ATP III22.8% (15.9% men vs. 29.1% women)2013Mean aged 42.3±14 yrcross-sectionalIran)	Jouvandeh et al. (45)	118 post menopausal	Clinic based cross-	Tehran	ATP III	30.1%
2013 Mean aged 42.3±14 yr cross-sectional Iran) Maharouei et al. (27) 490 Pre-menopausal Cross-sectional Shiraz ATP III 60% post menopausal 2013 women & 434 - IDF 59.4% postmenopausal postmenopausal representation - - - - Esmailzadeh et al. 529 women Population based Gazvin WHO 28.0%		women	sectional	Menopause Clinic		
Maharouei et al. (27) 490 Pre-menopausal Cross-sectional Shiraz ATP III 60% post menopausal 2013 women & 434 IDF 59.4% postmenopausal postmenopausal construction Gazvin WHO 28.0%	Shabazian et al. (30)	912 men & women	Population based	Ahvaz (South west of	ATP III	22.8% (15.9% men vs. 29.1% women)
2013 women & 434 IDF 59.4% postmenopausal postmenopausal Esmailzadeh et al. 529 men & 578 women Population based Gazvin WHO 28.0%		Mean aged 42.3±14 yr	cross-sectional	Iran)		
postmenopausal Esmailzadeh et al. 529 men & 578 women Population based Gazvin WHO 28.0%	Maharouei et al. (27)	490 Pre-menopausal	Cross-sectional	Shiraz	ATP III	60% post menopausal
Esmailzadeh et al. 529 men & 578 women Population based Gazvin WHO 28.0%	2013	women & 434			IDF	59.4% postmenopausal
		postmenopausal				
(35) 2013 aged 20-75 yr Cross-sectional ATP III 26.2%		529 men & 578 women	Population based	Gazvin	WHO	28.0%
	(35) 2013	aged 20-75 yr	Cross-sectional		ATP III	26.2%

			ADF	34.2%
100 postmenopausal	Clinic based Cross		ATP III	31.0%
women	sectional	Gorgan		
160 women	Cross-sectional	Gorgan (Turkmen	ATP III	35%
Mean aged		ethnic)		
32.3±13.7 yr				
1000 men & women	Population based	Mazandaran, Babol	ATP III	42.3% (36.5% men vs. 47.3% women)
aged 20-70 yr	Cross-sectional	(urban)		
996 men & women	Population based	Gazvin	ATP III	33.0% (30.9% men vs. 39.9% women)
aged ≥24 yr	Cross- sectional			× * * * *
• •	Population based	Shareza (rural & urban)	IDF	17.3%
		, , ,		9.7%
		Hamadan		25.6% (men vs. 19.2% women)
• •			ATP III	56.6% fire fighting men vs. 60.3% offic
	Cross sectional	Tabriz	//// III	worker
				34.7% (95% CI: 33.1-36.3%)
	*			37.4% (95% CI: 35.9-39.0%)
-		-		
· · · ·	-		ATP III	27.6% Amole vs. 12.0% Zahedan
2243 adults (Zanedan)	cross sectional	Zanedan	IDE	26.9% Amole vs. 11.8% Zahedan
		-		
	*	3	ATP III	23.3% (35.1% women vs. 10.7% men)
women aged ≥ 19	cross sectional			24.2% urban vs. 19.5% rural
984 women aged 30-50	Clinic based cross	Babol (urban)	ATP III	31.0%
yr	sectional			
800 men & women	Population based	Borujerd (West of Iran)	ATP III	43%
aged ≥35	cross sectional			
1596 women aged >45	Population based	Esfahan, Najaf-Abad,	ATP III	44.9% premenopausal
yr	cross sectional	Arak		57.9% early menopausal
		(Isfahan Healthy Heart		64.3% postmenopausal
		Program)		
808 men & women	Population based	Tehranian adults	ATP III	Calcium & Vitamin D intakes↓ MetS
Aged 18-74	cross sectional:			
	comparative study			
486 women	cross sectional	Tehranian Teachers	ATP III	vegetables & fruits↓ MetS
aged 40-60				
973 men & women	Cross sectional	Korram-Abad city	ATP III	Healthy dietary intake↓ MetS
1752 men & women	Cross sectional	Najaf-Abad & Arak	ATP III	Sugared drinks not associated with MetS
1752 men & women (adults)	Cross sectional	Najaf-Abad & Arak	ATP III	Sugared drinks not associated with MetS
(adults)		- -		
	Cross sectional Prospective	Najaf-Abad & Arak Tehranain adults (Tehran Lipid Glucose Study)	ATP III ATP III	Sugared drinks not associated with MetS Unhealthy snakes ↑MetS
	women ido	women sectional sectional Getwomen Population based aged 20-70 yr Cross-sectional 906 men & women Population based aged 224 yr Cross-sectional 996 men & women Population based aged 224 yr Cross-sectional 997 cross sectional 1501 women aged 15- Population based Cross aged 40-80 yr cross sectional 550 men & women Clinic based Cross aged 40-80 yr cross sectional 5024 men & women Population based aged 25-64 yr Cross sectional 3024 men & women Population based 2243 adults (Zahedan) Cross sectional 5826 adults (Amole) Population based cross sectional 5826 adults (Zahedan) Cross sectional 1591 women aged 30-50 Clinic based cross yr Sectional 984 women aged 30-50 Clinic based cross yr Sectional 800 men & women Population based yr Sectional 808 men & women Population based yr Sectional 808 men & women Population based Aged 18-74 Cross sectional 808 men & women Population based Aged 18-74 Cross sectional 808 men & women Population Based Aged 18-74 Cross sectional Sec	vomensectionalGorgan160 womenCross-sectionalGorgan (TurkmenMean agedthuic)athic)32.3 ± 13.7 yrturban1000 men & womenPopulation basedMazandaran, Babolaged 20-70 yrCross-sectional(urban)996 men & womenPopulation basedGazvinaged 224 yrCross-sectional1000 men & women1501 women aged 15-Population basedShareza (rural & urban)49 yrcross sectionalTabriz550 men & womenClinic based CrossHamadanaged 40-80 yrsectionalTabriz76 fire fighting men &Tabriz30 provincesoffice worker menCross sectionalAthole &2243 adults (Zahedan)Population basedAtmole &2243 adults (Zahedan)cross sectionalZahedan12514 adults men & women aged 219Population basedRarak (rural & urban, Isfahan Healthy Heart Program)984 women aged 30-50Clinic based crossBabol (urban)yrsectionalSatedianKrak (rural & urban, Isfahan Healthy Heart Program)yrsectionalSatedianArak (Isfahan, Najaf-Abad, Arak (Isfahan, Najaf-Abad, Arak (Isfahan, Najaf-Abad, Arak (Isfahan, Najaf-Abad, Arak (Isfahan, Healthy Heart Program)808 men & womenPopulation basedEsfahan, Najaf-Abad, Arak (Isfahan Healthy Heart Program)808 men & womenPopulation basedIsfahan, Najaf-Abad, Arak (Isfahan Healthy Heart Program) <td< td=""><td>NomenClinic based CrossGorganATP IIIwomenRoss-sectionalGorgan(TurkmenATP IIIMean agedethnic)-32.3:13.7 yr1000 men & womenPopulation basedMazandaran, BabolATP IIIaged 20-70 yrCross-sectional(urban)-996 me & womenPopulation basedGazvin-ATP IIIaged 224 yrCross-sectionalShareza (rural & urban)IDFaged 224 yrCross-sectional-ATP IIIaged 40-80 yrcross sectionalShareza (rural & urban)IDF350 men & womenClinic based CrossHamadanATP IIIaged 40-80 yrcross sectionalTabriz-76 fire fighting men-Tabriz-3024 men & womenPopulation basedAnole &ATP IIIaged 25-64 yrcross sectional30 provinces1DF2243 adults (Amole)Population basedAnole &ATP III2244 adults AmenÅPopulation basedKatanATP IIIyrcross sectionalBabl (urban) - StafahanATP III2243 adults (Amole)Population basedBabl (urban) - StafahanATP IIIyrcross sectionalBabl (urban) - StafahanATP III1051cross sectionalBabl (urban) - StafahanATP III1162cross sectionalBabl (urban) - StafahanATP III1252 adults (Amole)Population baselBabl (urban)</td></td<>	NomenClinic based CrossGorganATP IIIwomenRoss-sectionalGorgan(TurkmenATP IIIMean agedethnic)-32.3:13.7 yr1000 men & womenPopulation basedMazandaran, BabolATP IIIaged 20-70 yrCross-sectional(urban)-996 me & womenPopulation basedGazvin-ATP IIIaged 224 yrCross-sectionalShareza (rural & urban)IDFaged 224 yrCross-sectional-ATP IIIaged 40-80 yrcross sectionalShareza (rural & urban)IDF350 men & womenClinic based CrossHamadanATP IIIaged 40-80 yrcross sectionalTabriz-76 fire fighting men-Tabriz-3024 men & womenPopulation basedAnole &ATP IIIaged 25-64 yrcross sectional30 provinces1DF2243 adults (Amole)Population basedAnole &ATP III2244 adults AmenÅPopulation basedKatanATP IIIyrcross sectionalBabl (urban) - StafahanATP III2243 adults (Amole)Population basedBabl (urban) - StafahanATP IIIyrcross sectionalBabl (urban) - StafahanATP III1051cross sectionalBabl (urban) - StafahanATP III1162cross sectionalBabl (urban) - StafahanATP III1252 adults (Amole)Population baselBabl (urban)

(71) 2014	aged 20-74 yr	Cross-sectional	Lipid Glucose Study)		unsaturated fatty acid intake) ↑MetS
Hoseini et al. (70)	606 men & women	Population based	Tehranian adults	ATP III	Fruits & vegetables consumption↓ MetS
2007	Aged 18-74 yr	Cross-sectional	(Tehran Lipid Glucose		
			Study)		
Fam et al. (58)	4665 men & women	Population based	Tehranian adults	ATP III	Increased level of Leisure time physical
2012	aged 20-70 yr	Cross-sectional	(Tehran Lipid Glucose		activity \downarrow the risk of inappropriate changes
			Study)		in components of MetS and the occurrence
					of MetS
Hoseinpor-Niazi et	70 MetS and 160	Case-control	Tehranain adults	ATP III	Dietary legume intakes↓ MetS
al. ⁽⁶⁰⁾	healthy subjects				
2011	aged 25-55 yr				
			_		

Discussion

Our systematic review showed that the rate of MetS ranged from 10% to 60% among Iranian adults depending on the age, gender and region. The prevalence was more common among women, older age, and low socioeconomic status. Specifically, it was more prevalent in low-educated and inactive adults. A very high prevalence rate of major components of MetS such as obesity, central obesity, hyperlipidemia (high TG and High LDL), high BP and high FBS had been reported consistently across national level.

Although, a few studies reported the prevalence of MetS about10- 20% but in the majority of studies, the rate was higher than 30% in Iranian adults. An emerging high prevalence rate was observed in population in North of Iran (12). A similar high rate of MetS has been reported among adults in Pakistan and Oman and Turkish population as well (9, 17, 64). The range of MetS in our neighborhood countries varied from 32-47% in women and 20-37.2% in men (65). This is rather similar to the findings of the studies that we reviewed while the rate of MetS is more prevalent in Iranian adults than many other populations in Europe, US, Latin American, East Asia and India (7, 8, 43). In our review, a study compared the rates of MetS between Iranian adults and French adults, a sharp difference in prevalence of MetS had been observed between two populations in both genders. This difference primarily attributed to the differences in culture and lifestyles (43).

A relative large variation of the prevalence of MetS has been observed between provinces across the country especially from south to north of Iran (12, 31). Several factors may explain this difference. The lifestyles may differ between ethnic groups. The higher rate in the north may be associated with the difference in physical activity and habitual food patterns in particular the high consumption of rice among people living in the north. The difference of

demographic profiles of people that were recruited in the study and the definition used as MetS may be another source of explanation of the apparent disparity across studies.

Several factors such as older age, being a female, lowsocio economic status, low education and low physical activity were reported to be associated with MetS (12). These risk factors are primarily associated with obesity, in particular, abdominal obesity as well (24, 56). A similar finding also reported from other populations (9, 17). The higher rate of MetS in Iranian women are attributed to the greater rate of abdominal obesity because of lower physical activity level, higher order of live births, estrogen receptor and going - through menopause (24). Central obesity as measured by waist circumference or waist to height ratio as a simple tool for diagnosis has a greater discriminatory performance for screening non-obese components of MetS such as diabetes, hypertension and dyslipedemia (23). Iranian habitual diet such as high consumption of rice and white bread and sweets and lower intake of vegetable and fruits and legume has a major contributory role on the pattern of abdominal obesity and thus MetS occurs in Iranian population (59-63).

It has been shown that adults with low socio economic status and low education have greater risk of cardio metabolic risk factors (12) since they are more inactive and may use more unhealthy habitual foods because of lack of health consciousness, unawareness, having negative attitude and limited financial resources. In addition, the modern lifestyles such as fastfoods with high calorie intakes play a contributor role on the components of MetS in particular on obesity and central obesity. On the other hand, the healthy dietary intakes such as fruits and vegetables and dietaries with high level of calcium and vitamin D were related to the lower risk of triglyceride, diabetes, hypertension and MetS (62). Some of these evidence was demonstrated in crosssectional and case-control studies among Tehranian adults (61-63, 69-71). However, more prospective studies are needed to establish a clear evidence for an interventional plan in the public health management as preventive measures.

In conclusion, the prevalence of Mets in Iranian adults is higher than western counterparts. An emerging high prevalence of MetS in Iranian adults, highlights an urgent population based interventional plan to cope with modern lifestyles and to replace healthy lifestyles as preventive measures by focusing on high risk profile such as low socio economic, low level of education, older age and being women.

References

- Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive summary of the third report of the national cholesterol education program expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III). JAMA 2001; 285: 2486-97.
- Grundy SM, Brewer HB Jr, Cleeman JI, et al. Definition of metabolic syndrome: Report of the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute/American Heart Association Conference on scientific issues related to definition. Circulation 2004; 109: 433-8.
- Mottillo S, Filion KB, Genest J, et al. The metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Coll Cardiol 2010; 56: 1113-32.
- Isomaa B, Almgren P, Tuomi T, et al. Cardiovascular mortality and morbidity associated with metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Care 2001; 24: 683-9.
- Church TS, Thompson AM, Katzmarzyk PT, et al. Metabolic syndrome and diabetes, alone and in combination as predictors of cardiovascular disease mortality among men. Diabetes Care 2009; 32: 1289-94.
- Ford ES. The metabolic syndrome and mortality from cardiovascular disease and all causes: findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey II Mortality Study. Atherosclerosis 2004; 173: 309-14.
- 7. Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US adults: findings from the

third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. JAMA 2002; 287: 356-9.

- 8. Pan WH, Yeh WT, Weng LC. Epidemiology of metabolic syndrome in Asia. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 2008; 17: 37-42.
- Gundogan K, Bayram F, Capak M, et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in the Mediterranean region of Turkey: evaluation of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, obesity and dyslipidemia. Metab Syndr Relat Disord 2009; 7: 427-34.
- Azizi F, Salehi P, Etemadi A, Zahedi-Asl S. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in urban population: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2003; 61: 29-37.
- 11. Sarrafzadegan N, Kelishadi R, Baghaei A, et al. Metabolic syndrome: An emerging public health problem in Iranian women: Isfahan Healthy Heart Program. Int J Cadiol 2008; 131: 90-6.
- 12. Hajian-Tilaki K, Heidari B, Firozjahi A, et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and the associated sociodemographic characteristics and physical activity in urban population of Iranian adults: a population-based study. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2014; 8: 170-6.
- Bener A, Zirie M, Musallam M, Khader YS, Al-Hamaq AO. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome according to adult Treatment panel III and international Diabetes Federation criteria: a population-based study. Metab Syndr Relat Disord 2009; 7: 221-9.
- 14. Alberti K, Zimmet P, Shaw J; IDF Epidemiology Task Force Consensus Group. The metabolic syndrome: a new worldwide definition. Lancet 2005; 366: 1059-62.
- 15. Reaven GM. Banting lecture 1988. Role of insulin resistance in human disease. Diabetes 1988; 37: 1595-607.
- 16. Wang F, Wu S, Song Y, et al. Waist circumference, body mass index and waist to hip ratio for prediction of the metabolic syndrome in Chinese. Nutr Metab Cardiovas Dis 2009; 19: 542-7.
- Al-lawati JA, Jousilahtib P. Body mass index and waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio cut-off points for categorization of obesity among Omani Arabs. Public Health Nutr 2007; 11: 102-8.
- Azizi F, Hadaegh F, Khalili D, et al. Appropriate definition of metabolic syndrome among Iranian adults: Report of the Iranian national committee obesity. Arch Iran Med 2010; 13: 426-8.
- 19. Asraf H, Rashidi A, Noshad S, Khallilzadeh O, Estaghamati A. Epidemiology and risk factors of the

cardiometabolic syndrome in the Middle East. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther 2011; 9: 309-20.

- Ebrahimi M, Kazemi-Bajestani SM, Ghayour-Mobarhan M, Fenns GA. Coronary artery disease and its risk factors status in Iran: a review. Iran Red Crescent Med J 2011; 13: 610-23.
- Klishadi R. Childhood overweight, obesity and the metabolic syndrome in developing countries. Epidemiol. Rev 2007; 29: 62-76.
- 22. Delavari A, Forouzanfar MH, Alikhani S, Sharifian A, Kleishadi R. First nationwide study of the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and optimal cutoff points of waist circumference in the middle east: the national survey of risk factors for non-communicable diseases of Iran. Diabets Care 2009; 32: 1092-7.
- 23. Hajian-Tilaki K, Heidari B, Hajian-Tilaki A, Firouzjahi AR, Bagherzadeh M. The discriminator of performance of body mass index, waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio and waist-to-height ratio for detection of metabolic syndrome and their optimal cutoffs among Iranian adults. J Res Health Sci 2014; 14: 276-81.
- 24. Hajian-Tilaki KO, Heidari B. Prevalence of obesity, central obesity and the associated factors in urban population aged 20-70 years, in the north of Iran: a population-based study and regression approach. Obs Rev 2007; 8: 3-10.
- 25. Hajian-Tialki K, Heidari B. Prevalence of overweight and obesity and their association with physical activity pattern among Iranian adolescents aged 12-17 years. Public Health Nutr 2012; 15: 2246-52.
- 26. Hajian-Tilaki K, Sajjadi P, Heidari B. Prevalence of overweight and obesity and the associated risk factors in urban primary-school children in Babol, Islamic Republic of Iran. East Mediterr Health J 2011: 17: 109-14.
- Maharlouei N, Bellissimo N, Ahmadi SM, Lankarani KB. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in pre and postmenopausal Iranian women. Climacteric 2013: 16: 561-7.
- 28. Sarrafzadegan N, Gharipour M, Ramezani MA, et al. Metabolic syndrome and health related quality of life in Iranian population. J Res Med Sci 2011; 16: 256-61.
- 29. Sharifi F, Mousavinasab SN, Saeini M, Dinmohammadi M. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in an adult urban population of the west of Iran. Exp Diabetes Res 2009; 2009: 136501.

- 30. Shahbazian H, Latifi SM, Jalali MT, et al. Metabolic syndrome and its correlated factors in an urban population in South West of Iran. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2013; 12: 11.
- 31. Kaykhaei M, Hashemi M, Narouie B, et al. Prevalence of Metabolic syndrome in adult population from Zahedan, Southeast Iran. Iran J Public Health 2012; 41: 70-6.
- 32. Mahjoub S, Haji Ahamadi M, Faramarzi M, Ghorbani H, Moazezi Z. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome according to the Iranian Committee of Obesity and ATP III criteria in Babol, North of Iran. Caspian J Intern Med 2012; 3: 410-6.
- 33. Zabetian A, Hadaegh F, Azizi F. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Iranian adults population, concordance between the IDF with ATP III and the WHO definitions. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2007; 77: 251-7.
- 34. Nezhad MA, Ghayour-Mobarhan M, Parizadeh SM, et al. Metabolic syndrome: its prevalence and relationship to socio-economic parameters in an Iranian population. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2008; 18: 11-12.
- 35. Esmailnasab N, Moradi G, Delavari A. Risk factors of non-communicable diseases and metabolic syndrome. Iran J Public Health 2012; 41: 77-85.
- 36. Jamshidi L, Seif A. Estimation of prevalence and comparison of cardio vascular risk factors with metabolic syndrome in relation to sex, Heart Centre of Hamadan hospital. Quarter J of Healthy Nasim 2014; 2: Available at: www.Jfh. iausari.ac.ir/article_6265_0.html. Accessed February 17, 2015 [in Persian]
- 37. Ebrahimi H, Rajai F, Esmailian R. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in women at fertility age in Shahreza town. Iranian J Diabetes Metab Disord 2008; 8: 177-88. http://www.magiran.com /view.asp?type=pdf&id= 645353&1=fa. Accessed February 17, 2015 [in Persian]
- 38. Ebrahimi-Mamghani M, Farsad-Naimi AR, Nourmohammadi M, Azabdaftari N. Prevalence of Metabolic syndrome in Tabriz city firefighters. J Kermanshah Univ Med Sci 2011; 15: 296-302. [in Persian]
- 39. Fakhrzadeh H, Ebrahimpour P, Pourebrahim R, Heshmat R, Larijani B. Metabolic syndrome and its associated risk factors in healthy adults: a population-based study in Iran. Metab Syndr Relat Disord 2006; 4: 28-34.
- 40. Hadaegh F, Zabetian A, Tohidi M, et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome by the Adult Treatment Panel III,

International Diabetes Federation and World Health organization definitions and their association with coronary heart diseases in an elderly Iranian population. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2009; 38: 142-9.

- 41. Estaghmati A, Khalilzadeh O, Rashidi A, et al. Association between physical activity and metabolic syndrome in Iranian adults: national surveillance of risk factors of non-communicable diseases (SuRFNCD-2007). Metabolism 2009; 58: 134-65.
- 42. Saberi HR, Moravveji AR, Fakharian E, Kashani MM, Dehdahti AR. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in bus and truck drivers in Kashan. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2011; 3: 8.
- 43. Azimi-Nezhad M, Herbeth M, Siest G, et al. High prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Iran in comparison with France: what are the components that explain this. Metab Syndr Relat Disord 2012; 10: 181-8.
- 44. Mohebbi I, Saadat S, Aghassi M, et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Iranian professional drivers: resulst from a population based studies of 12138 men. PloS One 2012; 7: e31790.
- 45. Jouyandeh Z, Nayebzadeh F, Qorbani M, Asadi M. Metabolic syndrome and menopause. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2013; 12: 1.
- 46. Sarrfzadegan N, Gharipour M, Sadeghi M, Khosravi AR, Tavassoli AA. Metabolic syndrome in Iranian elderly. ARYA Atheroscier 2012; 7: 157-61.
- 47. Esmailzadehha N, Ziaee A, Kazemifar AM, Ghorbani A, Oveisi S. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Qazvin metabolic diseases study(QMDS), Iran: a comparative analysis of six definitions. Endocr Regul 2013; 47: 111-20.
- 48. Marjani A, Moghasemi S. The metabolic syndrome among postmenopausal women in Gorgan. Int J Endocrinol 2012; 2012: 953627.
- 49. Shahani N, Shahini I, Mariani A. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Turkmen ethnic groups in Gorgan. J Clin Diagn Res 2013; 7: 1849-51.
- 50. Sadrbafoghi SM, Salari M, Rafiee M, et al. Prevalence and criteria of metabolic syndrome in an urban population: Yazd, Healthy Heart Project. Tehran Uni Med J 2006; 64: 90-6. [in Persian]
- 51. Jalali R, Vasheghani M, Dabbaghmansh MH, Ranjbar Omrani GH. Prevalence of metabolic synrrome among adults in a rural area. J Endocr Metab 2009; 11: 405-14.
- 52. Javadi HR, Hashemipour S, Abbasi M, Javadi A. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and its components in

over 24 years of population of Gazvin (2012). J Qazvin Uni Med Sci 2014; 18: 11-17. [in Persian]

- 53. Gorbani R, Abtahi Naeini B, Eskandarian R, et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome according to ATP III and IDF criteria in the Iranian population. Koomesh, J Semnan Uni Med Sci 2012; 14: 65-75. [in Persian]
- 54. Delavar MA, Lye MS, Khor GL, Hanachi P, Hassan ST. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome among middle aged women in Babol, Iran. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2009; 40: 612-28.
- 55. Ostovaneh MR, Zamani F, Sharafkhah M, et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Amol and Zahedan, Iran: a population based study. Arch Iran Med 2014; 17: 477-82.
- 56. Hajian-Tialki K, Heidari B. Association of educational level with risk of obesity and abdominal obesity in Iranian adults. J Public Health (Oxf) 2010; 32: 202-9.
- 57. Daneshpour MS, Mehrabi Y, Hedayati M, Houshman M, Azizi F. A multivariate study of metabolic syndrome risk factors using factor analysis: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. Iran J Endocr Metab 2006; 8: 139-46. [In Persian].
- 58. Fam B, Amouzgar A, Delshad M, et al. Leisure time physical activity and its association with metabolic syndrome in Tehranian adults. Iran J Endocr Metab 2012; 14: 335-42. [In Persian]
- 59. Tabesh M, Khosravi H, Sarrafzadegan N, et al. Association of sugared drink consumptions with metabolic syndrome in Iranian adults. Iran J Diabetes Lipid 2011; 11: 203-13. Available at: http://ijdld.uums.ac.ir/browse.php?a_id=66&sid=1&slc_1 ang=fa. Accessed February 18, 2015 [in Persian]
- 60. Hosseinpour-Niazi S, Mirmiran P, Amiri Z, Azizi F. Dietary legunes intake and metabolic syndrome and its components in adults. Iran J Endocr Metab 2011; 12: 594-602. [in Persian]
- 61. Esmaeilzadeh A, Azadbakht L. Association of fruits and vegetables consumption with serum CRP and metabolic syndrome in women. Iranian J Diabetes Metab 2007; 6: 271-83. Available at: http://www.magiran.com/view.asp?type=pdf&id=439532 &1=fa Accessed February 17, 2015 [in Persian]
- 62. Falahi E, Khalkhali-Rad AH, Roosta S, Kaviani M. Association between healthy dietary pattern and metabolic syndrome. J Elam Uni Med Sci 2013; 21: 66-77. [in Persian]

- 63. Noori N, Mirmiran P, Asgari S, Azizi F. Dietary intake of calcium and vitamin D and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Tehranian adults: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study (TLGS). Iran J Endocr Metab 2007; 9: 191-200. [in Persian]
- 64. Hydrie MZ, Shera AS, Fawwad A, Basit A, Hussain A. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in urban Pakistan (Karachi): comparison of newly proposed International Diabetes Federation and modified Adult Treatment Panel III criteria. Metab Syndr Relat Disord 2009; 7: 119-24.
- 65. Mabry RM, Reeves MM, Eakin EG, Owin N. Gender difference in the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Gulf cooperation council countries: a systematic review. Diabet Med 2010; 27: 593-7.
- 66. Maleki A, Rashidi N, Aghaei Mybodi H, et al. Metabolic syndrome and inflammatory biomarkers in adults: a population-based survey in Western region of Iran. Int Cardiovasc Res J 2014; 6: 156-60.
- 67. Heidari P, Sadeghi M, Talaei M, et al. Metabolic syndrome in menopausal transition: Isfahan Healthy

Heart Program: a population based study. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2010; 2: 59.

- 68. Sarrafzadeghan N, Keilishadi R, Baghaei A, et al. Metabolic syndrome: an emerging public health problem in Iranian women: Isfahan Healthy Heart Program. Int J Cardiol 2008; 131: 90-6.
- 69. Bahadoran Z, Mirmiran P, Mirzaee S, Delshad H, Azizi F. The Effect of consumption of unhealthy snacks on diet and the risk of metabolic syndrome in adults: Tehran lipid and glucose study, Iran. J Kerman Univ Med Sci 2014; 21: 485-97. [in Persian]
- Hosseini-Eesfahani F, Mirmiran P, Azizi F. Fruit and vegetable intake and metabolic syndrome: Tehran lipid glucose study. Iran J Endocr Metab 2007; 9: 267-77. [in Persian]
- 71. Shab-Bidar S, Hosseini-Esfahani F, Mirmiran P, Hosseinpour-Niazi S, Azizi F. Metabolic syndrome profiles, obesity measures and intake of dietary fatty acids in adults: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. J Hum Nutr Diet 2014; 27: 96-108.